

MARK G. HENNESS, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 5842  
STEPHEN J. MENDENHALL, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 15286  
HENNESS & HAIGHT  
8972 Spanish Ridge Avenue  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148  
(702) 862-8200 Telephone  
(702) 862-8204 Facsimile  
[mghesq@hennessandhaight.com](mailto:mghesq@hennessandhaight.com)  
[stephenm@hennessandhaight.com](mailto:stephenm@hennessandhaight.com)  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

-and-

JOEL D. HENRIOD, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 8492  
ANDREW R. GUZIK, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 12758  
EGLET ADAMS  
EGLET HAM HENRIOD  
400 South 7th Street, Suite 400  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: (702) 450-5400  
Facsimile: (702) 450-5451  
Email: [eservice@egletlaw.com](mailto:eservice@egletlaw.com)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SCOTT DALE, Individually and as Executor of  
the Estate of CAROL ANN SCANLON,  
deceased;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

UNITED STATES; and DOES 1-50,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01896-JAD-EJY

**STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN AND**  
**SCHEDULED ORDER**  
**[PROPOSED]**

SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW  
REQUESTED BY DEFENDANT

Plaintiff, SCOTT DALE, individually and as Executor of the Estate of CAROL ANN  
SCANLON, by and through his counsel of record and Defendant, UNITED STATES, by and through  
its counsel of record, hereby submit this Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order pursuant  
to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 26-1.

1                   **I.       DEFENDANT’S BASIS FOR SPECIAL REVIEW**

2                   Four different sets of plaintiffs have initiated four separate lawsuits against the United States  
3 of America under the Federal Tort Claims Act (“FTCA”) bringing wrongful death claims alleging  
4 negligence by Federal Aviation Administration (“FAA”) air traffic control, arising from the midair  
5 collision of two aircraft on approach to North Las Vegas Airport on July 17, 2022. The cases are in  
6 different stages of litigation. Specifically:

7                   On August 13, 2024, the Chiaramonti Plaintiffs filed their complaint seeking compensation  
8 for the wrongful death of Anthony Chiaramonti (the “Chiaramonti Action,” Case No. 2:24-cv-  
9 01484), who was in the Cessna 172 plane owned by Airwork Las Vegas, Inc. (the “Airwork Plane”),  
10 and, on October 18, 2024, Defendant filed its answer in the Chiaramonti Action.

11                   On August 13, 2024, the Tidwell Plaintiffs also filed their complaint seeking compensation  
12 for the wrongful death of Zachary Rainey who was also in the Airwork Plane (the “Tidwell Action,”  
13 Case No. 2:24-cv-01490), and on October 21, 2024, Defendant filed its answer in the Tidwell Action.

14                   On September 17, 2024, another plaintiff filed her complaint in *Cathy Zee Anderson, as*  
15 *personal representative of the Estate of Donald S. Goldberg v. United States of America*, Case No.  
16 Case No. 2:24-cv-01737-JAD-EJY, seeking compensation for the wrongful death of Donald S.  
17 Goldberg who was in the Piper PA 46-350P owned by Mr. Goldberg (the “Goldberg Plane”). The  
18 Defendant filed its answer in this case on December 10, 2024.

19                   On October 10, 2024, Plaintiff, Scott Dale, individually and as Executor to the Estate of Carol  
20 Ann Scanlon filed his complaint in *Scott Dale, Individually and as Executor of the Estate of Carol*  
21 *Ann Scanlon, deceased, v. United States*, Case No. 2:24-cv-01896-JAD-EJY, seeking compensation  
22 for the wrongful death of Carol Scanlon who was also in the Goldberg Plane. The United States filed  
23 a Motion to Dismiss Individual Claims and to Strike Doe Defendants in Lieu of an Answer on  
24 December 26, 2024.

25                   **II.       JUSTIFICATION FOR DISCOVERY PERIOD LONGER THAN 180 DAYS**

26                   Since there are the four separate lawsuits claiming wrongful deaths arising from the same  
27 mid-air collision and alleging negligence by FAA air traffic control, the Parties feel the only  
28

reasonable approach is coordinated deposition discovery across all four cases to avoid multiple depositions of the same witnesses and to avoid multiple inspections and disruptions of the control tower at North Las Vegas Airport.

### **III. RULE 26(f) CONFERENCE**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties conferred by telephone on January 15, 2025. Stephen J. Mendenhall, Esq. of HENNESS & HAIGHT and Joel D. Henriod, Esq. of EGLET ADAMS EGLET HAM HENRIOD attended on behalf of Plaintiff. Debra Fowler, Esq. of the United States Department of Justice attended for Defendant.

### **IV. DISCOVERY PLAN**

#### **A. LR 26-1(a) & Initial Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)**

The parties will exchange their Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)(C) initial disclosure statements by Wednesday, **January 29, 2025**, 14 days from the date of their Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) conference.

#### **B. Subjects on Which Discovery May Be Needed (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)(3)(B))**

Discovery is needed on all liability and damages issues, including affirmative defenses. The parties agree that issues relating to liability and damages discovery should be conducted simultaneously, with the exception noted in Section II above. The schedule set forth below will allow the parties to begin written and documentary discovery until such time as the United States has appeared in all four cases arising from this midair collision, at which time the parties in all four cases will confer on the need for a revised joint discovery schedule for depositions and inspections. Expert discovery may be conducted after liability and damages discovery is completed. The parties propose the following schedule:

- 1. Discovery Cut-Off: August 29, 2025.**
- 2. Deadline for amending pleadings/adding parties: May 23, 2025.**
- 3. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures:**
  - i. Expert disclosures: June 13, 2025.**
  - ii. Rebuttal expert disclosures: August 1, 2025.**
- 4. Dispositive motion deadline: September 26, 2025.**

1                   **5. Joint pretrial order: October 24, 2025.**

2                   However, if dispositive motions are filed, the deadline for filing the joint pretrial order will  
3 be suspended until 30 days after decision on the dispositive motions or further court order.

4                   **6. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures:**

5                   Unless the Court orders otherwise, the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3), and  
6 any objections to them, must be included in the joint pretrial order in accordance with LR 26-1(b)(6).

7                   **7. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition:**

8                   In compliance with LR 26-1(b)(7), the parties certify they have conferred about the  
9 possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration, and if  
10 applicable, early neutral evaluation. Plaintiffs are open to mediation, and Defendant believes that  
11 discovery concerning Plaintiffs' damages is needed before alternative dispute methods are viable.

12                   **8. Alternative Forms of Case Disposition:**

13                   The parties further certify that they have considered consent to trial by the Magistrate Judge  
14 under 28 U.S.C. § 6363(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73, as well as use of the Court's Short Trial Program  
15 (General Order 2013-01). Neither Plaintiffs nor Defendant consent to trial by a Magistrate Judge  
16 under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) or use of the Short Trial Program.

17                   **9. Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"):**

18                   The parties recognize that ESI may be involved in this matter and prefer to deal with such  
19 information on an ad hoc basis, as issues may arise. The parties shall meet and confer and otherwise  
20 and work in good faith with respect to the production of ESI. Further, the parties have discussed  
21 whether they intend to present evidence in an electronic format to the Court as fact-finder at trial, but  
22 no stipulations have been reached at this time regarding providing discovery in an electronic format  
23 compatible with the Court's electronic evidence display system.

24                   **10. Discovery Process:**

25                   The parties may conduct discovery within the scope of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b).

26  
27 ///

28 ///

1                   **11. Privileged or Protected Documents:**

2                   The parties do not at this juncture anticipate production of confidential, trade secret, and/or  
3 commercially sensitive information during the pendency of this action. As such, the parties see no  
4 reason to propose a blanket protective order to be entered by the Court at this time. In the event that  
5 issues of confidentiality arise relating to any document any party seeks in discovery, the parties  
6 intend to work together in good faith to reach a compromise and attempt to submit a stipulated  
7 protective order to the Court without need to resort to motion practice.  
8

9                   **12. Interim Status Reports:**

10                  The interim status report may be filed no later than sixty (60) days prior to the discovery cut-  
11 off date, June 30, 2025.

12                  **13. Electronic Evidence:**

13                  The attorneys of record in this matter are registered for electronic filing with the Court. Any  
14 document electronically filed with the Court is deemed to be sufficiently served on the other party  
15 as of the date the document is electronically filed with the Court. During their conference, the Parties  
16 agreed to accept service of materials that are not served by means of the CM/ECF system, including  
17 discovery requests, responses and expert reports via email, with such service being treated the same  
18 as hand delivery for purposes of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

**14. Extensions or Modifications of the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order:**

LR 26-3 governs modification or extensions of this Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order.

DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2025.

HENNESS & HAIGHT

*/s/ Stephen J. Mendenhall, Esq.*

MARK G. HENNESS, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 5842

STEPHEN J. MENDENHALL, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 15286

8972 Spanish Ridge Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

JOEL D. HENRIOD, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 8492

ANDREW R. GUZIK, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 12758

EGLET ADAMS

EGLET HAM HENRIOD

400 South 7th Street, Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF  
JUSTICE

*/s/ Debra D. Fowler*

DEBRA D. FOWLER

Senior Aviation Counsel

ROBERT J. GROSS

Senior Trial Counsel

U.S. Department of Justice.

Civil Division, Torts Branch

Post Office Box 14271

Washington, D.C. 20044-4271

*Attorneys for Defendant*

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**DATED: January 30, 2025**